SapTrap Assembly of Caenorhabditis elegans MosSCI Transgene Vectors

Xintao Fan,* Sasha De Henau,⁺ Julia Feinstein,* Stephanie I. Miller,* Bingjie Han,*

Christian Frøkjær-Jensen,[‡] and Erik E. Griffin^{*,1}

*Department of Biological Sciences, Dartmouth College, Hanover NH 03755, [†]Center for Molecular Medicine, Molecular Cancer Research, University Medical Center Utrecht, 3584 CG Utrecht, The Netherlands, and [‡]King Abdullah University of Science and Technology (KAUST), Biological and Environmental Science and Engineering Division (BESE), KAUST Environmental Epigenetics Program (KEEP), Thuwal 23955-6900, Saudi Arabia

ORCID IDs: 0000-0002-8119-1026 (X.F.); 0000-0002-9033-0964 (S.D.H.); 0000-0001-7449-6836 (B.H.); 0000-0002-3178-0906 (C.F.-J.); 0000-0001-9958-2466 (E.E.G.)

ABSTRACT The Mos1-mediated Single-Copy Insertion (MosSCI) method is widely used to establish stable *Caenorhabditis elegans* transgenic strains. Cloning MosSCI targeting plasmids can be cumbersome because it requires assembling multiple genetic elements including a promoter, a 3'UTR and gene fragments. Recently, Schwartz and Jorgensen developed the SapTrap method for the one-step assembly of plasmids containing components of the CRISPR/Cas9 system for *C. elegans*. Here, we report on the adaptation of the SapTrap method for the efficient and modular assembly of a promoter, 3'UTR and either 2 or 3 gene fragments in a MosSCI targeting vector in a single reaction. We generated a toolkit that includes several fluorescent tags, components of the ePDZ/LOV optogenetic system and regulatory elements that control gene expression in the *C. elegans* germline. As a proof of principle, we generated a collection of strains that fluorescently label the endoplasmic reticulum and mitochondria in the hermaphrodite germline and that enable the light-stimulated recruitment of mitochondria to centrosomes in the one-cell worm embryo. The method described here offers a flexible and efficient method for assembly of custom MosSCI targeting vectors.

KEYWORDS

MosSCI *C. elegans* mitochondria endoplasmic reticulum SapTrap

The rich toolbox of techniques available to manipulate gene expression in *C. elegans* is a major attraction of this model organism. Several approaches have been developed to introduce transgenes and to induce efficient CRISPR/Cas9 mediated gene editing (Nance and Frøkjær-Jensen 2019). The Mos1-mediated Single-Copy Insertion (MosSCI) method has been widely adopted to introduce transgenes in *C. elegans* because single-copy transgenes are integrated at defined chromosomal positions, thereby mitigating potential concerns of transgene integration at random positions (Frøkjær-Jensen *et al.* 2008; Frøkjær-Jensen *et al.* 2012; Frøkjær-Jensen *et al.* 2014). MosSCI transgene integration results from homologous recombination between a MosSCI targeting vector containing the transgene construct and one of the safeharbor integration sites that have been engineered at defined positions in the genome.

Transgenes typically include multiple genetic elements including a promoter, one or more gene fragments and a 3'UTR. A number of strategies can be used to assemble these elements together including traditional restriction enzyme cloning, Gateway cloning (Hartley *et al.* 2000), *in vivo* recombineering (Philip *et al.* 2019) or Gibson cloning (Gibson *et al.* 2009). Each of these strategies has both advantages and disadvantages. For example, Gateway cloning allows the efficient modular "mix and match" cloning of large collections of promoter, ORF and 3'UTR cassettes (Brasch *et al.* 2004; Dupuy *et al.* 2004; Mangone *et al.* 2010; Zeiser *et al.* 2011). However, Gateway cloning can be expensive due to the required use of proprietary enzyme mixes and leaves ~25 base pair *att* recombination site "scars" at each cassette junction. In contrast, Gibson cloning allows the efficient, "scar-free" assembly of



Copyright © 2020 Fan *et al.*

doi: https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.119.400822

Manuscript received October 10, 2019; accepted for publication December 3, 2019; published Early Online December 17, 2019.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Supplemental material available at figshare: https://doi.org/10.25387/g3.9978611. ¹Corresponding Author: 78 College Street, LSC 348, Department of Biological Sciences, Dartmouth College, Hanover NH, 03755. E-mail: erik.e.griffin@ dartmouth.edu

multiple gene fragments but does not allow the "mix and match" cloning of existing cassettes, making this approach laborious if many constructs are needed.

Schwartz and Jorgensen recently developed the SapTrap method for efficient, modular and single step assembly of CRISPR/Cas9 vectors for C. elegans (Schwartz and Jorgensen 2016). The SapTrap method is based on the Golden Gate cloning technique (Engler et al. 2008) and takes advantage of the SapI type II restriction enzyme, which cuts DNA at defined positions adjacent to its recognition sequence to generate three-base 5' overhangs. By designing SapI restriction fragments with complementary overhangs, multiple fragments can be assembled together in a defined order in a single digestion and ligation reaction. In this study, we report on the adaptation of the SapTrap system for the efficient, inexpensive, modular, and "scar-free" assembly of transgenes in a MosSCI targeting vector. We have developed a toolkit for expression of transgenes in the C. elegans germline, including a collection of cassettes containing tags for fluorescence imaging and for the ePDZ/ LOV optogenetic system (Strickland et al. 2012; Fielmich et al. 2018). As a proof of principle, we have used this system to generate a collection of mitochondrial and endoplasmic reticulum reporter strains and a strain in which light induces the transport of mitochondria to centrosomes in the one-cell worm embryo.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

C. elegans

C. elegans hermaphrodite strains were maintained at either 20° or 25° on Nematode Growth Medium (NGM) plates containing 3 g/L NaCl, 2.5 g/L peptone and 17 g/L agar supplemented with 1 mM CaCl₂, 1 mM MgSO₄, 1 mM KPO₄ and 5 mg/L cholesterol with *E. coli* OP50 as a source of food. All strains used in this study are listed in Table 1.

Cloning

To generate the expression vector pXF87, the two SapI restriction sites in pCFJ350 (Frøkjær-Jensen *et al.* 2012) were mutated using Q5 Site-Directed Mutagenesis (New England Biolabs (NEB)) with the oligo pairs XF30F/XF30R and XF31F/XF31R. In addition, the annealed oligos Eg717 and Eg718 were cloned between the XhoI and SpeI sites of pCFJ350.

HaloTag and ceGFP containing PATC-rich endogenous introns were generated in several steps. First, genes were designed *in silico* to minimize germline silencing and increase expression by codon adaptation (Redemann *et al.* 2011), removal of homology to piRNAs (Batista *et al.* 2008), and inclusion of a short endogenous intron from *rpl-18* and four synthetic introns (Okkema *et al.* 1993) using the freely available gene editor ApE (M. Wayne Davis, https:// jorgensen.biology.utah.edu/wayned/ape/). Second, the synthetic genes were synthesized as gBlocks (IDT), cloned into a plasmid, and sequence verified. Third, PATC-rich introns from a gene that is resistant to germline silencing, *smu-1* (Spike *et al.* 2001), were introduced into the synthetic genes by Golden Gate cloning as described previously (Frøkjær-Jensen *et al.* 2016). Finally, correct splicing and expression was verified by expression of the synthetic genes with and without PATC-rich introns using an *eft-3* promoter and *tbb-2* 3'UTR.

Donor cassette plasmids numbered pXF, pJF and pSM were generated by cloning PCR products into the pCR BluntII vector backbone using the Zero Blunt Topo system (Thermo Fisher Scientific). pSDH donor cassette plasmids were cloned by ligating PCR products into pSDH76, a derivative of pCR BluntII containing two XcmI sites that generate T-overhangs following digestion with XcmI. pXF87 and all donor plasmids were sequence verified. To assemble HSP-3 (aa 1-19) into the second cassette of the expression vector pJF13, 10 μ M of oligos XF17F and XF17R were gradually cooled from 95° to 25° in a BioRad T1000 thermocycler. Annealed oligos were phosphorylated by T4 polynucleotide kinase (NEB) for two hours at 37°, followed by an enzyme inactivation step at 65° for 20 min. The donor plasmids and primers are listed in Tables 2 and 3, respectively.

Assembly reaction

Assembly reactions (total final volume of 50 μ L) included 1 nM of pXF87 and of each donor cassette plasmid, 400 units of T4 DNA ligase (NEB), 10 units of SapI enzyme (NEB), 1x NEB CutSmart buffer and 1 mM ATP. For assemblies including annealed oligos, phosphorylated annealed oligos were used at a final concentration of 3 nM in the assembly reaction. Reactions were incubated for 22-24 hr at 25°, and transformed into Stellar Competent cells (Clontech). Four to six plasmid clones were first screened by restriction digest with XhoI and SpeI. Plasmids with the correct restriction digest pattern were sequenced across each cassette boundary. MosSCI targeting vector assembly reactions are listed in Table 4. Note that because the background of unassembled vectors in our assembly reactions was typically low, our protocol omits the counterselection restriction enzyme step described in the original SapTrap protocol (Schwartz and Jorgensen 2016).

Transgenesis

Double-stranded breaks at Mos1 landing sites were generated using CRISPR/Cas9. With the exception of strains EGD623, EGD629, EGD631 and EGD633, injection mixes contained 50 ng/µL of each of the following vectors: an assembled MosSCI targeting vector, pXW7.01 and pXW7.02 sgRNA/Cas9 vectors (gifts from Katya Voronina, University of Montana), which direct Cas9 to generate doublestranded breaks at the ttTi5605 universal MosSCI insertion site. For strains EGD623, EGD629, EGD631 and EGD633, injection mixes contained 0.25 µg/µL Cas9 protein, 0.1 µg/µL tracrRNA, 0.028 µg/µL crRNA BH0278 (GCGUCUUCGUACCUUUUUGGGUUUUAGAG-CUAUGCUGUUUUG), 0.028 µg/µL crRNA BH0279 (GUCC-CAUCGAAGCGAAUAGGGUUUUAGAGCUAUGCUGUUUUG) (Dharmacon) and 0.1 µg/µL assembled MosSCI targeting plasmid. The universal MosSCI strains EG8078 or EG8079 (Frøkjær-Jensen et al. 2014) were injected, singled and incubated for 10 days at 20°. \sim 10 worms from plates containing non-Unc animals were transferred to new plates. Plates that stably gave rise to non-unc progeny were visually screened for fluorescent transgene expression.

HaloTag staining

20 to 30 L4 worms were stained in 25 μ L S medium containing concentrated OP50 bacteria and 2.5 μ M of either JF₅₄₉ HaloTag ligand or JF₆₄₆ HaloTag ligand (Grimm *et al.* 2015) in a darkened 96-well plate shaking at 150 rpm for 19 hr at 23°. Water was placed in the neighboring wells to help prevent evaporation. Animals were recovered on NGM plates for up to two hours before imaging.

MitoTracker deep red staining

L4 worms were fed overnight on an NGM plate that had been seeded with 100 μ L concentrated OP50 bacteria mixed with 1 μ L of 1 mM MitoTracker Deep Red FM dye (Cell Signaling Technology, Cat #8778S).

Imaging

With the exceptions of the TOMM-20::Dendra2 strain and optogenetic strains (Figure 4), all images were collected on a spinning-disk

Table 1 Strains used in this study

Strain	Genotype	Construction	Reference:
EG8078 EG8079	oxTi185 I; unc-119(ed3) III oxTi179 II; unc-119(ed3) III oxTi179 II; unc-119(ed3) III	laiostad a 1512 into 500070	Frøkjær-Jensen <i>et al.</i> (2014) Frøkjær-Jensen <i>et al.</i> (2014) This study
EGD327	egx3126 [mex-sp:.nsp- 3(aa 1-19)::halotag::hdel::pie-1 3' UTR + unc119(+)] I; unc-119(ed3) III	injected pur is into EG6076	This study
EGD412	egxSi136 [mex-5p::tomm-20::halotag::pie-1 3' UTR + unc119(+)] II; unc-119(ed3) III	Injected pJF17 into EG8079	This study
EGD496	egxSi117 [pmex-5p::npp-20::gfp;;pie-1 3' UTR + unc119(+)]	Injected pXF253 into EG8078	This study
EGD497	egxSi118 [mex-5p::npp-20::halotag::pie-1 3' UTR + unc119(+)] II; unc-119 (ed3) III	Injected pXF255 into EG8079	This study
EGD549	egxSi144 [mex-5p::cox-4::halotag::pie-1 3' UTR + unc119(+)] II; unc-119 (ed3) III	Injected pXF266 into EG8079	This study
EGD565	egxSi145 [mex-5p::hsp-3(aa 1-19)::halotag::hdel::pie-1 3'UTR + unc119(+)] II; unc-119 (ed3) III	Injected pJF13 into EG8079	This study
EGD623	egxSi152 [mex-5p::tomm-20::gfp::pie-1 3' UTR + unc119(+)] II; unc-119(ed3) III	Injected pSM16 into EG8079	This study
EGD629	egxSi155 [mex-5p::tomm-20::mkate2::pie-1 3' UTR + unc119(+)] II; unc-119(ed3) III	Injected pSM20 into EG8079	This study
EGD631	egxSi157 [mex-5p::tomm-20::dendra2::pie-1 3' UTR + unc119(+)] II; unc-119(ed3) III	Injected pSM17 into EG8079	This study
EGD633	egxSi159 [mex-5p::tomm-20::mscarlet::pie-1 3' UTR + unc119(+)] II; unc-119(ed3) III	Injected pSM22 into EG8079	This study
EGD615	cox-4(zu476[cox-4::eGFP::3XFLAG]) ; egxSi136 [mex-5p::tomm- 20::halotag::pie-1 3'UTR + unc119(+)] ; unc-119(ed3?)	Crossed EGD412 and JJ2586	This study
JJ2586	cox-4(zu476[cox-4::eGFP::3XFLAG])		Raiders <i>et al.</i> 2018
TBD307	dhc-1(he255[epdz::mcherry::dhc-1]) ; utdSi51(mex-5p::tomm-20(aa 1-55)::halotag::lov::tbb-2 3' UTR + unc119(+))	Injected pSDH68 into EG8079. Crossed to SV2095.	This study
SV2095	dhc-1(he255[epdz::mcherry::dhc-1]) ; ruls57[pie-1p::afp::tbb-2 + unc119(+)] V		Fielmich <i>et al</i> . 2018

microscope built on a Nikon Eclipse Ti base and equipped with an Andor CSU-W1 two camera spinning disk module, Zyla sCMOS cameras, an Andor ILE laser module and a Nikon 100X Plan Apo 1.45 NA oil immersion objective (Micro Video Instruments, Avon, MA).

TOMM-20::Dendra2 was imaged on a Marianas spinning disk microscope (Intelligent Imaging Innovations) built around a Zeiss Axio Observer Z.1 equipped with a Photometrics Evolve EMCCD camera, 50 mW 488 and 561 nm solid state lasers, a CSU-X1 spinning disk (Yokogawa, Tokyo Japan) and a Zeiss 100X Plan-Apochromat objective. Photoconversion was performed by 5 sec illumination with a 405 epifluorescent light source.

To stimulate the relocalization of mitochondria (Figure 4), embryos were illuminated with a 50 mW 640 nm solid-state laser used to excite MitoTracker DeepRed (20% laser power, 100 msec exposure, camera gain of 1) and a 50 mW 488 nm solid-state laser used to stimulate the interaction between ePDZ and LOV domains (80% laser power and 100 msec exposure). A Plan-Apochromat 100x/1.4 NA oil immersion DIC objective (Zeiss) was used and Z-stacks (one micrometer step size, 11 steps) were collected at 60-second intervals. The images displayed in Figure 4 are maximum intensity projections of three Z planes from the cell midplane.

Data availability

With the exception of EGD633, the *C. elegans* strains generated in this study have been deposited at the Caenorhabditis Genetics Center

(CGC; https://cgc.umn.edu). The plasmids listed in Figures 1 and 3 have been deposited at Addgene (http://www.addgene.org). Other donor plasmids, assembled expression plasmids and EGD633 are available upon request. Supplemental materials describing the sequence of tag donor cassettes are available through the GSA figshare portal: https:// doi.org/10.25387/g3.9978611.

RESULTS

Adaptation of the SapTrap system for cloning MosSCI targeting vectors

To adapt the SapTrap approach (Schwartz and Jorgensen 2016) for the assembly of MosSCI targeting vectors, we started by making two changes to the universal MosSCI targeting vector pCFJ350 (Frøkjær-Jensen *et al.* 2012), which targets transgenes for insertion at the commonly used *ttTi5605* site (Frøkjær-Jensen *et al.* 2008). First, we introduced single base pair changes to disrupt the two SapI restriction sites located in the "Left" and "Right" homology arms of pCFJ350. Second, we inserted two SapI sites into the multiple cloning site that were oriented such that they are removed from the vector backbone by digestion with SapI. The resulting MosSCI targeting vector was named pXF87 (Figure 1A). Although pXF87 is compatible with the standard Mos1-mediated transgenesis protocol, the transgenic strains described in this study were isolated using CRISPR/Cas9 to generate double-stranded breaks in MosSCI integration sites (described in the Methods section).

Name	Description
Cassette 1 3'-TAC)	for 4-cassette or 5 cassette system (5'-TGG
pXF121	mex-5 promoter
, pSDH60	spe-11 promoter
Cassette 2	for 4-cassette or 5-cassette system (5'-ATG-3'
3'-CCA-5	j′)
Tags	
pXF89	halotag (no STOP codon, PATC introns)
pJF5	gfp (no STOP codon, PATC introns)
pXF222	<i>mkate2</i> (no STOP codon)
pSDH61	epdz (no STOP codon)
pSM10	mscarlet (no STOP codon)
pSM12	dendra2 (no STOP codon)
Genes	
pJF7	tomm-20 (no STOP codon)
pSDH50	tomm-20 (aa 1-55) (no STOP codon)
pXF262	cox-4 (no STOP codon)
pXF250	npp-20 (no STOP codon)
Cassette 3	for 4-cassette system (5'-GGT-3' 3'-ATT-5')
Tags	
pXF88	halotag (includes STOP codon, PATC introns)
pJF6	<i>gfp</i> (includes STOP codon, PATC introns)
pXF130	<i>mkate2</i> (includes STOP codon)
pSM08	mscarlet (includes STOP codon)
pSM03	dendra2 (includes STOP codon)
ORFs	
pXF90	halotag::hdel (includes STOP codon, PATC introns)
Cassette 3/	A for 5-cassette system (5'-GGT-3' 3'-TGC-5')
Tags	
pSDH51	halotag (no STOP codon, PATC introns)
pSM04	mkate2 (no STOP codon)
pSDH57	mscarlet (no STOP codon)
Cassette 3I -	B for 5-cassette system (5'-ACG-3' 3'-ATT-5')
lags	
	lov domain (includes STOP codon)
pSDH52	epdz (includes STOP codon)
	mkate2 (includes STOP codon)
Cassette 4 ' CAT-5')	for 4-cassette or 5-cassette system (5 -TAA-3 3 -
pXF85	pie-1 3'UTR
, pSDH54	tbb-2 3'UTR
, pSDH66	unc-54 3'UTR
•	

We next cloned a series of plasmids that contain donor cassettes flanked by SapI restriction sites (Figure 1B). Following digestion with SapI, the cassettes are liberated from the vector backbone and are flanked by 5' overhangs that direct their order of assembly in pXF87 (Figure 1C). A four-insert cassette system was designed with a promoter in cassette 1, gene fragments in cassettes 2 and 3 (typically a gene and a tag) and a 3'UTR in cassette 4 (Figure 1B). To minimize the inclusion of extraneous sequences, the junction between the first and second cassettes is the translation start (ATG), between second and third cassettes is glycine (GGT) and between the third and fourth cassettes is the ochre translation stop codon (TAA) (Figure 1C). Donor cassettes encoding tags (such as fluorescent proteins) include short flexible linkers at the protein fusion site (the carboxy terminus of cassette 2 and the amino terminus of cassette 3) (Supplemental Figure S1-S7). The currently available promoter, tag and 3'UTR donor cassette plasmids are listed in Figure 1E and Table 2.

The *C. elegans* germline is a notoriously difficult tissue in which to achieve stable transgene expression due to silencing of multi-copy

extra-chromosomal arrays (Kelly *et al.* 1997), single-copy insertions generated by MosSCI (*e.g.*, (Shirayama *et al.* 2012; Frøkjær-Jensen *et al.* 2016)) or endogenous genes tagged using CRISPR/Cas9 gene editing (*e.g.*, (Fielmich *et al.* 2018)). Each of our tag donor cassettes encoding gene tags incorporates at least one modification that buffers against silencing, including the inclusion of PATC introns in HaloTag and ceGFP (Frøkjær-Jensen *et al.* 2016), the elimination of piRNA binding sites in mScarlet, mKate2 and Dendra2 (Seth *et al.* 2018; Zhang *et al.* 2018) and the use of sequence motifs found in native germline genes in ePDZ and the LOV domain (Fielmich *et al.* 2018).

Similar to the SapTrap method developed by Schwartz and Jorgensen (Schwartz and Jorgensen 2016), MosSCI targeting vectors were assembled in a single tube by incubating pXF87, four donor cassette plasmids, SapI enzyme, ATP and T4 DNA ligase at 25° for 22 - 24 hr (Figure 1D and Materials and Methods). This reaction was then transformed into *E. coli* and plasmid clones were screened by restriction enzyme digestion followed by sequencing. We assembled nine vectors using the 4-cassette system and 32 of 46 (69.6%) of the plasmids screened had the correct restriction digest pattern (Table 4). Of the vectors with the correct restriction digest pattern, 22 of 23 were correct based on Sanger sequencing analysis of the cassette junctions. Therefore, the SapTrap method provides an efficient method for the assembly of MosSCI targeting vectors.

A collection of fluorescent ER and mitochondria strains

We used SapTrap-assembled MosSCI targeting vectors to generate a collection of transgenic strains for analysis of endoplasmic reticulum and mitochondrial dynamics. We first targeted GFP, mKate2, mScarlet, Dendra2 and HaloTag to the cytoplasmic face of the mitochondrial outer membrane by fusing them to the carboxy terminus of TOMM-20. The expression of these transgenes was controlled by the mex-5 promoter and by the pie-1 3'UTR, which results in germline expression that increases around the bend of the adult hermaphrodite gonad (Merritt et al. 2008) (Figure 2A). Strains expressing TOMM-20 fused to HaloTag were labeled with the fluorescent JF₆₄₆ HaloTag ligand (Grimm et al. 2015) by feeding hermaphrodites bacteria mixed with the ligand. Each TOMM-20 fusion protein exhibited the expected tubular localization pattern in the early embryo (Figure 2B-I). We confirmed that TOMM-20::HaloTag colocalized to the same organelle as the mitochondrial matrix protein COX-4::GFP (Raiders *et al.* 2018) (Figure 2C). We additionally generated strains in which the HaloTag was targeted to the mitochondrial matrix (COX-4::HaloTag) (Figure 2J) and the lumen of the endoplasmic reticulum (HSP-3(aa 1-19)::HaloTag::HDEL) (Figure 2K) (Lee et al. 2016). We fused both GFP and HaloTag to NPP-20, the worm homolog of SEC13, which is both a component of the COPII coat that concentrates to ER exit sites (ERES) (D'Arcangelo et al. 2013) and a component of nuclear pore complexes (Siniossoglou et al. 1996) (Figure 2L, M).

Five-cassette system

One of the advantages of the SapTrap approach is that it can be easily expanded to include additional insert fragments to create more complex transgenes. To establish a five-cassette system, we used the cassettes 1, 2 and 4 from the four-cassette system and replaced cassette 3 with cassettes 3A and 3B (Figure 3A and 3B). We used this approach to generate an optogenetic system to control the localization of mitochondria in the early embryo based on the light induced interaction between the ePDZ and LOV domains (Strickland *et al.* 2012; Fielmich *et al.* 2018). We assembled a MosSCI targeting vector that directed expression of TOMM-20::HaloTag::LOV, which targets the LOV domain to

Table 3 Primers used in this study

Name	Description	Sequence (SAP1 site and Overhang)	Corresponding plasmid
XF32F	mex-5	GCAGCTCTTCG TGG ATATCAGTTTTTAAAAAATTA	pXF121
	promoter (F)		·
XF32R	mex-5 promoter (R)	GCA <u>GCTCTTC</u> G CAT TCTCTGTCTGAAACA	
JE5E	tomm-20 (F)	GCAGCTCTTCG ATG TCGGACACAATTCTTGG	n.JE7
JF5R	tomm-20 (R)	GCAGCTCTTCGACCCTCCAAGTCGTCGGTGTC	por
JF1F	afp (F)	GCAGCTCTTCG ATG TCCAAGGTAACACTTAGTTT	pJF5
JF1R	afp (R)	GCAGCTCTTCGACCGCCGCTTCCCTTGTAGAGCTCGTCCAT	
JF2F	afp (F)	GCAGCTCTTCGGGGTGGAAGCGGCTCCAAGAACACTTAGTTT	pJF6
JF2R	gfp (R)	GCAGCTCTTCG TTA CTTGTAGAGCTCGTCCAT	I
XF17F	hsp-3 (1-19aa) (F)	ATGAAGACCTTATTCTTGTTGGGCTTGATCGCCCTATCCGCCGTCAGTGTCTACTGC	
XF17R	hsp-3 (1-19aa) (R)	ACCGCAGTAGACACTGACGGCGGATAGGGCGATCAAGCCCAACAAGAATAAGGTCTT	
spe-11 (SAP C1) F	spe-11 promoter (F)	GCA <u>GCTCTTC</u> G TGG GTCGACAGAACATTTTTCCGT	pSDH60
spe-11	spe-11	GCA <u>GCTCTTC</u> G CAT TTTATCTAGTCGGTTTGCGA	
(SAP C1) R	promoter (R)		
XF24F	halotag (F)	GCA <u>GCTCTTC</u> G ATG GCCGAGGTAACACTTAGTTTTTGT	pXF89
XF24R	halotag (R)	GCA <u>GCTCTTC</u> G ACC GCCGCTTCCTCCGGAGATCTCGAGGGT	
XF63F	mkate2 (F)	GCA <u>GCTCTTC</u> G ATG GTCTCCGAGCTCATTAAAGAAAACA	pXF222
XF63R	mkate2 (R)	GCAGCTCTTCGACCACCTCCACCGCTGTCCGAGCTTGG	
ePDZ (SAP C2) F	epdz (F)	GCA <u>GCTCTTC</u> G ATG CCAGAGCTCGGATTCTCGAT	pSDH61
ePDZ (SAP C2) R	epdz (R)	GCA <u>GCTCTTC</u> G ACC AGCTCCCGTCGCGACGGGTGGATCAC	
XF79F	cox-4 (F)	GCAGCTCTTCG ATG ATGCTGCCACGTTTG	pXF262
XF79R	cox-4 (R)	GCAGCTCTTCGACCCTTCCACTTCTTGTTCTCGTAATC	1
XF76F	npp-20 (F)	GCAGCTCTTCG ATG ACCACGGTCCGCCAG	pXF250
XF76R	npp-20 (R)	GCAGCTCTTCGACCTCTCTGAGCTCCCGGAGCT	I
XF23F	halotag (F)	GCA <u>GCTCTTC</u> G GGT GGAAGCGGCGCCGAGGTAACACTTAGTTTTTGT	pXF88
XF23R	halotag (R)	GCA <u>GCTCTTC</u> G TTA TCCGGAGATCTCGAGGGT	
XF53F	mkate2 (F)	GCA <u>GCTCTTC</u> G GGT GGAGGTGGAGGTGTCTCCGAGCTCATTAAAGAAAAC	pXF130
XF53R	mkate2 (R)	GCA <u>GCTCTTC</u> G TTA ACGGTGTCCGAGCTTGGA	
XF22F	halotag::hdel (F)	GCA <u>GCTCTTC</u> G GGT GGAAGCGGCGCCGAGGTAACACTTAGTTTTTGT	pXF90
XF22R	halotag::hdel (R)	GCA <u>GCTCTTC</u> G TTA GAGTTCGTCATGTCCGGAGATCTCGAGGGT	
SIM8F	mscarlet (F)	GCA <u>GCTCTTC</u> G ATG GTCTCCAAGGGCGAGGCA	pSM10
SIM8R	mscarlet (R)	GCA <u>GCTCTTC</u> G ACC ACCTCCACCTCCCTTGTACAGCTCGTCCATTCCT	
SIM10F	dendra2 (F)	GCA <u>GCTCTTC</u> G ATG AACCTTATTAAGGAAGATATG	pSM12
SIM10R	dendra2 (R)	GCA <u>GCTCTTC</u> GACCGCCGCTTCCCCATACTTGACTTGGTAG	
SIM1F	dendra2 (F)	GCA <u>GCTCTTC</u> G GGT GGAAGCGGCAACCTTATTAAGGAAGATATG	pSM03
SIM1R	dendra2 (R)	GCA <u>GCTCTTC</u> G TTA CCATACTTGACTTGGTAG	
SIM2F	mkate2 (F)	GCA <u>GCTCTTC</u> G GGT GGAGGTGGAGGTGTCTCCGAGCTCATTAAAGAAAACA	pSM04
SIM2R	mkate2 (R)	GCA <u>GCTCTTC</u> G CGT ACCTCCACCTCCACGGTGTCCGAGCTTGGA	01.405
SIM3F	mkate2 (F)	GCA <u>GCICIIC</u> G ACG GGAGGIGGAGGIGGAGGIGICICCGAGCICAIIAAAGAAAACA	pSM05
SIM3R	mkate2 (R)		CN 400
SIM6F	mscarlet (F)		pSIM08
SINOR	mscarlet (R)		
(SAPC3)F	mscariet (F)		psuhs/
mScarlet (SAPC3)R	mscarlet (R)	GCA <u>GCTCTTC</u> G CGT GGCCGCGGCTTTTGCAGCGG	
XF84F	lov (F)	GCA <u>GCTCTTC</u> G ACG CCTCGTCTTGCTGCT	pXF276
XF84R	lov (R)	GCA <u>GCTCTTC</u> G TTA GACCCAAGTGTCGACGGC	
XF12F	pie-1 3' UTR (F)	GCA <u>GCTCTTC</u> G TAA TTTTGCCGTATTTTCCAT	pXF85
XF12R	pie-1 3' UTR (R)	GCA <u>GCTCTTC</u> G TAC ATCATCGTTCACTTTTCAC	
tbb2 3'UTR (SAPC5)F	tbb-2 3' UTR (F)	GCA <u>GCTCTTC</u> G TAA ATGCAAGATCCTTTCAAGCATTC	pSDH54
tbb2 3'UTR (SAPC5)R	tbb-2 3' UTR (R)	GCA <u>GCTCTTC</u> G TAC GACTTTTTTCTTGGCGGCAC	

(continued)

Table 3, continued

Name	Description	Sequence (<u>SAP1 site</u> and Overhang)	Corresponding plasmid
Halo (SAP C3)F	halotag (F)	GCA <u>GCTCTTC</u> G GGT GGAAGC	pSDH51
Halo (SAP C3)R	halotag (R)	GCA <u>GCTCTTC</u> G CGT TCCGGAGATCTCGAGGGTGG	
ePDZ (SAP C4)F	epdz (F)	GCA <u>GCTCTTC</u> G ACG GGAGGTTCCGGAGGATCTGGC	pSDH52
ePDZ (SAP C4)R	epdz (R)	GCA <u>GCTCTTC</u> G TTA CGTCGCGACGGGTGGAT	
unc-54 (SAPC5)F	unc-54 3' UTR (F)	GCA <u>GCTGTTC</u> G TAA GAGCTCCGCATCGGCCGCTG	pSDH66
unc-54 (SAPC5)R	unc-54 3' UTR (R)	GCA <u>GCTCTTC</u> G TAC AAACAGTTATGTTTGGTATATTGGGA	
Eg717	Replace pCFJ350 MCS (F)	TCGAGTGGCGAAGAGCCCATGGATCCCATATGGAATTCTGCAGGCCTGCTCTTCGGTAA	pXF87
Eg718	Replace pCFJ350 MCS (R)	CTAGTTACCGAAGAGCAGGCCTGCAGAATTCCATATGGGATCCATGGGCTCTTCGCCAC	
XF30F	Mutate Sapl site in pCFJ350	GATTATGGGCACTTCTTTTATCC	pXF87
XF30R	Mutate Sapl site in pCFJ350	CGACAAGCAACTTTTCTATAC	
XF31F	Mutate Sapl site in pCFJ350	AATGGCGAAGtGCAAAGCAGAG	pXF87
XF31R	Mutate Sapl site in pCFJ350	GTTTCCTGAAAATAATGTAACTTGAATTG	

Note: For the expression plasmid pJF13 annealed oligos were used to generate HSP-3(aa 1-19) in cassette 2.

Additional oligo sequences used to generate pSDH50:

 $\label{eq:total_$

TOMM-20 short reverse GCA<u>GCTCTTCGACCggctccggctccagcgcctgcaccagctcc</u>TGCTCCAGCCTGGGCACGtctctctgaaagaaaataagttgtttttagataaaaatccgaaggaaatatgttgttaaaacactgacttgcctaatcttgtccttgtagtctggagcgttgattctcttatgatcgaagtaaatgcagtagccgaggaaagcggctccagcaattccagcagccaaaacgacgtttgatttgTTGAAACCAAGAATT-GTGTCCGACATCGAAGAGCtgc.

the mitochondrial outer membrane. 11 of 15 assembled plasmids had the corrected restriction digest pattern and 2 of 2 of these plasmids were correct by Sanger sequence analysis of the cassette junctions. A TOMM-20::HaloTag::LOV strain was crossed with a strain in which the dynein heavy chain DHC-1 was fused to ePDZ (Fielmich *et al.* 2018). Whereas mitochondria in wild-type embryos are dispersed

Table 4 MosSCI targeting vectors used in this study

Name	Comments	Assembly							
pXF87	MosSCI backbone	Derived from pCFJ350							
1		Donor vectors used for assembly						Assembly efficiency	
		Cassettes						Digestion	Sequencing
		1	2		3		4		
pJF13	ER lumen, Halotag	pXF121	XF17	F/R ^a	pXF90	р	XF85	4/5	2/2
pJF17	Mitochondrial OM, Halotag	pXF121	pJF	7	pXF88	рХF85		4/5	1/2
pXF253	ERES + nuclear pores (NPP-20), GFP	pXF121	pXF :	250	pJF6	pXF85		4/6	2/2
pXF255	ERES + nuclear pores (NPP-20), Halotag	pXF121	121 pXF 250		pXF88	pXF85		5/6	2/2
pXF266	Mitochondrial matrix, Halotag	pXF121	pXF :	262	pXF88 pXF85		XF85	1/4	1/1
pSM20	Mitochondrial OM, mKate2	pXF121	pJF	7	pXF130	р	XF85	4/5	2/2
pSM22	Mitochondrial OM, mScarlet	pXF121	pJF	7	pSM08	p	XF85	4/5	2/2
pSM17	Mitochondrial OM, Dendra2	pXF121	pJF	7	pSM03	р	XF85	4/5	2/2
pSM16	Mitochondrial OM, GFP	pXF121	pJF	7	pJF6	р	XF85	2/5	2/2
		1	2	3A		3B	4		
pSDH68	Mitochondrial OM, Halotag, LOV	pXF121	pSDH50	pSDH51	PCR	fragment	pSDH54	11/15	2/2

^aAnnealed oligos.



Figure 1 SapTrap assembly of MosSCI targeting vectors using the four-cassette system. A. The MosSCI targeting vector pXF87 was derived from pCFJ350 by mutating two SapI restriction sites (indicated by arrowheads in the "Left" (L) and "Right" (R) homology arms) and introducing two SapI sites (blue text) between the Xhol and SpeI sites (green text). SapI cleavage sites are in red text. The SapI recognition sites are oriented such that upon digestion they are removed from the vector backbone. The cbr-*unc-119* gene is used as a positive selection marker to facilitate the identification of transgenic animals. B. Design of the donor cassette vectors used for the 4-cassette cloning strategy. C. The curved dotted lines indicate the overhangs that anneal during the ligation reaction. D. Overview of the assembly protocol. For a detailed protocol, see the Materials and Methods section. E. Summary of available promoter, gene tag and 3'UTR donor cassette plasmids.

through the cytoplasm (Figure 4A), upon the recruitment of ePDZ::mCherry::DHC-1 to mitochondria by stimulation with 488 nm light, mitochondria were transported onto centrosomes, leaving the peripheral cytoplasm largely devoid of mitochondria (Figure 4B).

DISCUSSION

The SapTrap system described here provides an efficient and simple method for the assembly of MosSCI targeting vectors. This approach is similar to the Gateway assembly system (ThermoFisher Scientific) in that once donor cassette plasmids are cloned, they can be assembled in any modular combination. The Gateway system has been widely used to generate MosSCI transgenes and is attractive because there are large collections of promoter, ORF, and 3'UTR donor plasmids available (Brasch *et al.* 2004; Dupuy *et al.* 2004; Mangone *et al.* 2010; Zeiser

et al. 2011). However, the Gateway system has disadvantages, including i) \sim 25 bp *att* recombination sites present between each cassette after assembly, ii) the cost of proprietary enzyme mixes, and iii) the difficulty in assembling more than four cassettes together. In contrast, the Sap-Trap system i) uses three-base pair junctions, two of which are designed to encode the translation start and STOP codons, ii) is relatively inexpensive, and iii) can efficiently assemble at least 5 cassettes. In principle, the number of cassettes could be increased if desired. The most significant consideration in generating new donor cassette plasmids for SapTrap assembly is that internal SapI sites cannot be present within the donor cassette sequence. Gibson cloning also allows the "scar-free" cloning of transgene vectors, but the specific cloning strategies must be designed for each unique vector. While we have focused on generating transgenes expressed in the hermaphrodite germline, the MosSCI



Figure 2 Images of transgenic strains. A. Images of TOMM-20::HaloTag labeled with JF₆₄₆ HaloTag ligand in the adult gonad (outlined with curved dotted line), including an inset of the region in the stippled box. B. Images of embryos expressing TOMM-20::HaloTag labeled with JF₆₄₆ HaloTag ligand at the 1-cell, 4 cell and \sim 100 cell stages. C. Images of a 4 cell embryo expressing TOMM-20::HaloTag labeled with JF₆₄₆ HaloTag ligand (magenta) and COX-4::GFP (green) (Raiders et al., 2018). D - F. Images of embryos expressing the indicated transgenes at the 4-cell stage. G - I. Images of a 4 cell embryo expressing TOMM-20::Dendra2 before and after photoconversion (PC). Dendra2 switches from green to red fluorescence upon photoconversion. J - M. Images of embryos expressing the indicated transgenes at the 1-cell, 4 cell and \sim 100 cell stages.



Figure 3 SapTrap assembly of MosSCI targeting vectors using the five-cassette system. A. Schematic of pXF87 and the donor cassettes following SapI digestion. The dotted lines indicate the overhangs that anneal during ligation. B. Summary of available promoter, gene tag and 3'UTR donor cassette plasmids for the five-cassette system.

targeting vector pXF87, the gene tag donor cassettes and cloning approach described here should be readily adaptable to expressing transgenes in other tissues.

The advantages of tagging and fluorescently labeling proteins with the HaloTag include increased brightness and photostability (especially compared to red fluorescent proteins) and excellent optical pairing with green fluorescent proteins for 2-color imaging. Additionally, HaloTag labeling offers the flexibility to label a single strain with either JF₅₄₉ HaloTag ligand or JF₆₄₆ HaloTag ligand (Grimm *et al.* 2015). The disadvantages of HaloTag labeling include the need to introduce the



в

epdz::mcherry::dhc-1; tomm-20::halotag::lov (stained with Mitotracker DeepRed)



Figure 4 Optogenetic control of mitochondrial distribution in the 1-cell embryo. A. Control embryo stained with Mitotracker DeepRed and imaged with 488 nm and 640 nm illumination (640 nm channel shown). B. 1-cell *epdz::mcherry::dhc-1; tomm-20::halotag::lov* embryo stained with Mitotracker DeepRed and imaged with 488 nm and 640 nm illumination (640 nm channel shown). The 488 nm illumination was used to stimulate the interaction between the ePDZ and LOV domains.

fluorescent ligand (for example, using small scale liquid culture) and the cost of the ligand. Additionally, care should be taken to optimize labeling procedures for each protein to maximize labeling efficiency and minimize background from free ligand. In practice, we find that HaloTag labeling is particularly useful when photobleaching of conventional fluorescent proteins is limiting and/or when imaging in far red is advantageous.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Bing He and members of the Griffin lab for comments on the manuscript. We thank Katya Voronina (U. of Montana) for the plasmids pXW7.01 and pXW7.02. We thank Ann Lavanway and Zdenek Svindrych of the Dartmouth Life Sciences Imaging Facility for assistance with imaging. The Molecular Biosciences core facility is supported by the Norris Cotton Cancer Center and by NCI grant 5P30CA023108-40. This work was supported by grants from the NIH (R01GM110194 to EEG), baseline funding from KAUST (to CFJ) and the NWO (016.Veni.181.051 to SDH). Erik Jorgensen (U. of Utah, HHMI) contributed resources to generate some of the transgenes from NIH grant R01GM095817.

LITERATURE CITED

- Batista, P. J., J. G. Ruby, J. M. Claycomb, R. Chiang, N. Fahlgren *et al.*, 2008 PRG-1 and 21U-RNAs interact to form the piRNA complex required for fertility in *C. elegans*. Mol. Cell 31: 67–78. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.molcel.2008.06.002
- Brasch, M. A., J. L. Hartley, and M. Vidal, 2004 ORFeome cloning and systems biology: standardized mass production of the parts from the partslist. Genome Res. 14: 2001–2009. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.2769804
- D'Arcangelo, J. G., K. R. Stahmer, and E. A. Miller, 2013 Vesicle-mediated export from the ER: COPII coat function and regulation. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1833: 2464–2472. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.bbamcr.2013.02.003
- Dupuy, D., Q. R. Li, B. Deplancke, M. Boxem, T. Hao *et al.*, 2004 A first version of the *Caenorhabditis elegans* Promoterome. Genome Res. 14: 2169–2175. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.2497604
- Engler, C., R. Kandzia, and S. Marillonnet, 2008 A one pot, one step, precision cloning method with high throughput capability. PLoS One 3: e3647. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0003647
- Fielmich, L. E., R. Schmidt, D. J. Dickinson, B. Goldstein, A. Akhmanova et al., 2018 Optogenetic dissection of mitotic spindle positioning in vivo. eLife 7: e38198. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.38198
- Frøkjær-Jensen, C., M. W. Davis, M. Ailion, and E. M. Jorgensen, 2012 Improved Mos1-mediated transgenesis in *C. elegans*. Nat. Methods 9: 117–118. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1865
- Frøkjær-Jensen, C., M. W. Davis, C. E. Hopkins, B. J. Newman, J. M. Thummel et al., 2008 Single-copy insertion of transgenes in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Nat. Genet. 40: 1375–1383. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.248
- Frøkjær-Jensen, C., M. W. Davis, M. Sarov, J. Taylor, S. Flibotte et al., 2014 Random and targeted transgene insertion in *Caenorhabditis* elegans using a modified Mos1 transposon. Nat. Methods 11: 529–534. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2889
- Frøkjær-Jensen, C., N. Jain, L. Hansen, M. W. Davis, Y. Li et al., 2016 An Abundant Class of Non-coding DNA Can Prevent Stochastic Gene Silencing in the C. elegans Germline. Cell 166: 343–357. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.cell.2016.05.072
- Gibson, D. G., L. Young, R. Y. Chuang, J. C. Venter, C. A. Hutchison, 3rd et al., 2009 Enzymatic assembly of DNA molecules up to several hundred kilobases. Nat. Methods 6: 343–345. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1318
- Grimm, J. B., B. P. English, J. Chen, J. P. Slaughter, Z. Zhang et al., 2015 A general method to improve fluorophores for live-cell and single-molecule microscopy. Nat. Methods 12: 244–250. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3256

- Hartley, J. L., G. F. Temple, and M. A. Brasch, 2000 DNA cloning using in vitro site-specific recombination. Genome Res. 10: 1788–1795. https:// doi.org/10.1101/gr.143000
- Kelly, W. G., S. Xu, M. K. Montgomery, and A. Fire, 1997 Distinct requirements for somatic and germline expression of a generally expressed *Caenorhabditis elegans* gene. Genetics 146: 227–238.
- Lee, Z. Y., M. Prouteau, M. Gotta, and Y. Barral, 2016 Compartmentalization of the endoplasmic reticulum in the early *C. elegans* embryo. J. Cell Biol. 214: 665–676. https://doi.org/10.1083/ jcb.201601047
- Mangone, M., A. P. Manoharan, D. Thierry-Mieg, J. Thierry-Mieg, T. Han et al., 2010 The landscape of C. elegans 3'UTRs. Science 329: 432–435. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1191244
- Merritt, C., D. Rasoloson, D. Ko, and G. Seydoux, 2008 3' UTRs are the primary regulators of gene expression in the *C. elegans* germline. Curr. Biol. 18: 1476–1482. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2008.08.013
- Nance, J., and C. Frøkjær-Jensen, 2019 The Caenorhabditis elegans Transgenic Toolbox. Genetics 212: 959–990. https://doi.org/10.1534/ genetics.119.301506
- Okkema, P. G., S. W. Harrison, V. Plunger, A. Aryana, and A. Fire, 1993 Sequence requirements for myosin gene expression and regulation in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Genetics 135: 385–404.
- Philip, N. S., F. Escobedo, L. L. Bahr, B. J. Berry, and A. P. Wojtovich, 2019 Mos1 Element-Mediated CRISPR Integration of Transgenes in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. G3 (Bethesda) 9: 2629–2635. https://doi.org/ 10.1534/g3.119.400399
- Raiders, S. A., M. D. Eastwood, M. Bacher, and J. R. Priess, 2018 Binucleate germ cells in *Caenorhabditis elegans* are removed by physiological apoptosis. PLoS Genet. 14: e1007417. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1007417
- Redemann, S., S. Schloissnig, S. Ernst, A. Pozniakowsky, S. Ayloo et al., 2011 Codon adaptation-based control of protein expression in *C. elegans*. Nat. Methods 8: 250–252. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1565
- Schwartz, M. L., and E. M. Jorgensen, 2016 SapTrap, a Toolkit for High-Throughput CRISPR/Cas9 Gene Modification in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Genetics 202: 1277–1288. https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.115.184275
- Seth, M., M. Shirayama, W. Tang, E. Z. Shen, S. Tu et al., 2018 The Coding Regions of Germline mRNAs Confer Sensitivity to Argonaute Regulation in C. elegans. Cell Reports 22: 2254–2264. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.celrep.2018.02.009
- Shirayama, M., M. Seth, H. C. Lee, W. Gu, T. Ishidate *et al.*, 2012 piRNAs initiate an epigenetic memory of nonself RNA in the *C. elegans* germline. Cell 150: 65–77. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2012.06.015
- Siniossoglou, S., C. Wimmer, M. Rieger, V. Doye, H. Tekotte et al., 1996 A novel complex of nucleoporins, which includes Sec13p and a Sec13p homolog, is essential for normal nuclear pores. Cell 84: 265–275. https:// doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(00)80981-2
- Spike, C. A., J. E. Shaw, and R. K. Herman, 2001 Analysis of smu-1, a gene that regulates the alternative splicing of unc-52 pre-mRNA in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Mol. Cell. Biol. 21: 4985–4995. https://doi.org/ 10.1128/MCB.21.15.4985-4995.2001
- Strickland, D., Y. Lin, E. Wagner, C. M. Hope, J. Zayner et al., 2012 TULIPs: tunable, light-controlled interacting protein tags for cell biology. Nat. Methods 9: 379–384. https://doi.org/10.1038/ nmeth.1904
- Zeiser, E., C. Frøkjær-Jensen, E. Jorgensen, and J. Ahringer, 2011 MosSCI and gateway compatible plasmid toolkit for constitutive and inducible expression of transgenes in the C. elegans germline. PLoS One 6: e20082. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0020082
- Zhang, D., S. Tu, M. Stubna, W. S. Wu, W. C. Huang *et al.*, 2018 The piRNA targeting rules and the resistance to piRNA silencing in endogenous genes. Science 359: 587–592. https://doi.org/10.1126/ science.aao2840

Communicating editor: K. Gunsalus